










The Implementation of Regional Waste Policies and the Improvement of Public Health

Nurjannah Septyanun^{1*}, Zaenafi Ariani², Nur Fitri Hidayanti², Rina Rohayu Harun¹, Mardiyah Hayati³,
Suwadi Suwandi³, Aqodiah Aqodiah³

¹Department of Law, Universitas Muhammadiyah Mataram, Mataram, Indonesia; ²Department of Sharia Economic, Universitas Muhammadiyah Mataram, Mataram, Indonesia; ³Department of Madrasah Ibtidaiyah Teacher Education, Universitas Muhammadiyah Mataram, Mataram, Indonesia

Abstract

Edited by: Sasho Stoleski
Citation: Septyanun N, Ariani Z, Hidayanti NF, Harun RR, Hayati M, Suwandi S, Aqodiah A. The Implementation of Regional Waste Policies and the Improvement of Public Health. Open Access Maced J Med Sci. 2022 Feb 14; 10(E):406-410.
<https://doi.org/10.3889/oamjms.2022.8143>
Keywords: Waste policy; Waste bank; Manufacturer; Public health

***Correspondence:** Nurjannah Septyanun, Universitas Muhammadiyah Mataram, Mataram, Indonesia.
E-mail: nurjajustice@gmail.com
Received: 17-Jul-2021
Revised: 23-Oct-2021
Accepted: 04-Feb-2022
Copyright: © 2022 Nurjannah Septyanun, Zaenafi Ariani, Nur Fitri Hidayanti, Rina Rohayu Harun, Mardiyah Hayati, Suwadi Suwandi, Aqodiah Aqodiah
Funding: This study was supported by the Institutional Directorate General of Higher Education Ministry of Education, Culture, Research and Technology through the Matching Fund Funding Assistance Program (no. 311/E3/ PK5.08/ KL/2021 and no. 305A/11.34U10/VIII/2021)
Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist
Open Access: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0)

BACKGROUND: Government policies in waste management aim to improve public health, environmental quality, and waste as a resource. Data show that 73.6% of residences have not classified waste into organic, inorganic, and hazardous waste types.

AIM: This qualitative research uses sociological jurisprudence and legal sociology approaches.

METHODS: Data were obtained through literature review and case studies.

RESULTS: Results show that waste banks are used as a form of waste management, where recyclable and/or reusable waste with economic value are sorted and collected. The waste bank implementation was synergized with manufacturers in the form of corporate social responsibility (CSR). These CSR funds are not yet maximized for waste management groups established by the West Nusa Tenggara Province, which has reached 99 units in 2017 and 54 in 2018. Funds are dominated by local governments in fostering, socializing programs, and providing facilities, infrastructure as well as capital assistance.

CONCLUSION: In addition to local governments, manufacturers participate in ensuring everyone's right to gain a good and healthy environment through waste banks. Another form of responsibility was efforts to change the society's paradigm and behavior on sustainable waste management from its source, namely, household waste. This has not run optimally, nor has it been synergized with volunteer-based academics.

Introduction

The Republic of Indonesia's central and regional governments have accommodated waste management and waste banks in various laws and regulations. Law No. 18 of 2008 on Waste Management states that waste management aims to improve public health and environmental quality as well as to make waste as a resource. Waste as a resource was a concept of a circular economic system, namely, a design of integrated waste management by involving communities and stakeholders. A waste bank was a place to sort and collect recyclable and/or reusable waste with economic value. An implementation of the household-level circular economy was the waste bank program. Communities can independently sort, process, and use their household waste.

To accommodate this circular economy concept on waste management, the government issued the Regulation of the Minister of Environment No. 13 of 2012 on Guidelines to Reduce, Reuse, and

Recycle through Waste Banks and Regulation of the Minister of Environment and Forestry No. 14 of 2021 on Waste Management in Waste Banks. The West Nusa Tenggara Provincial Regulation No. 5 of 2019 on Waste Management was issued as a derivative of the Ministries' regulations. This local regulation was the basis of waste banks in West Nusa Tenggara.

In 2021, the Ministry of Environment and Forestry (KLHK), recorded 11,556 waste bank units across 363 districts and cities throughout Indonesia. These waste banks gained 419,204 customers and a monthly turnover of approximately Rp. 2.8 billion up to July 2021. These waste banks reduce 2.7% of the total national waste. There was also a positive trend of waste bank implementation in West Nusa Tenggara.

Malang Waste Bank (MWB) is an example of a successful pioneering waste bank. It formed waste bank units that cooperate and synergize with waste collectors and scavengers. So that this MWB coaching pattern is unique, there is synergy with each other. MWB is a temporary developer of units. Waste collectors become the members-in-training. MWB classified 70 to 100 types

of waste. It undergoes three empowerment programs, which are savings service program business financing without usury. And waste processing training program for creative business [1]. The type of usury is divided into two models, namely, Dayn Usury and Ba'i Usury. In term of exploitation, these types of usury are found in several contracts and transactions. Dayn Usury exists in debt contracts such as borrowing money and non-cash buying and selling. (Nurjannah Septyanun 2019).

Several factors inhibit the implementation of waste bank programs, including the absence of initiators in society, the stalling of the value cycle [2], and the lack of independency. The funding of this program was still depending on local government grants. For the funding, this is a high operational costs program, but community participation as waste bank customers was still low. The central and local governments were responsible for sustainable waste management. Waste banks were often initiated by the city/regency government, universities, and the local community. People obtain two benefits from good waste management, which are increased income and a clean environment. However, there was still a lack of organic waste management from food waste. In addition, the roles of large industrial producers through social funds or corporate social responsibility (CSR) funds are not yet optimum.

Academics and local governments have socialized waste banks through training and coaching to give the public understanding about the importance of zero waste management behavior. They also socialize waste banks as an effective method to create a clean environment [3]. So far, there were still no action nor enforcement for socializing regulation in - waste management - the paradigm is still based on the linear economy (take-make-dispose). It has not touched on the root of the problem, especially concerning the circular economy paradigm, which is a solution to waste management as a resource.

This research analyzes the implementation of regional waste policies and the social responsibility of manufacturers through waste banks. It aims to analyze the application of the regulations governing waste management, which entrusts the central government, local governments, waste producers, community, and academics to properly synergized to create resource-based waste management through waste banks.

Methods

This qualitative research uses sociological jurisprudence and legal sociology approaches. The first was an approach that starts from law to society then, from society to law, and law as social control. The discussion about social control is closely related to socialization. In legal sociology, socialization

is a process to make people aware of the rules to make them wholeheartedly obey or at least adjust their behavior to conform with the provisions of existing rules [4]. The researcher uses primary data derived from 150 respondents through Google Form consisting of structured questions. These respondents are people who are directly involved in the waste bank program and the matching fund program. The agreement was made in the form of a commitment to realize zero waste. We selected them randomly by sharing Google form link. And the majority of respondents are from campus areas and cities in West Nusa Tenggara This research is completed with secondary data from research results published in scientific journals and books. It uses descriptive, qualitative, to analyze the data.

Results

So far, the waste management in West Nusa Tenggara is not yet sustainable, causing negative impacts on public health and the environment. Therefore, the West Nusa Tenggara Provincial Government issued Law No. 5 of 2019 on Waste Management mandates all stakeholders to play an active role in sustainable waste management to improve public health and environmental quality. Also to make waste as a resource that can be used to meet the needs of society. The Regulation of the Governor of West Nusa Tenggara Province No. 14 of 2020 on Regional Policies and Strategies in Waste Management was also issued. It stipulates a sustainable and integrative strategy to reduce and manage domestic waste at the provincial level.

A waste bank is a place to sort and collect recyclable waste and/or those with economic value. The regional waste bank operates at a regional scale. Local Waste Management Units are individuals, community groups, or companies that carry out waste management activities. Manufacturers that produce waste from their businesses, and/or activities, are contributing by CSR funds that are allocated to waste banks program. CSR is closely related to sustainable development, where the company must also consider social and environmental aspects in undergoing economic activities. CSR programs may empower communities and make them independent by participating to achieve certain goals to be achieved.

Manufacturers and industries are urged to direct their clean production programs towards sustainability in the long term. It must implement the principle of a circular economy, where the value of products and materials is maintained and utilized as long as possible, and also limiting the waste produced. The circular economy aims to sustainably balance the environment and economic aspects [5].

Data from Campus and Municipal waste in 2021 show that 90.6% of respondents stated that they understood the waste sorting process. This was supported by the acknowledgement that this understanding was obtained because 22% had participated in waste management socialization; 56.6% participated once or twice, and 21.4% never participated in socialization. Thus, the campus and urban communities have understood the waste sorting process. However, in the campus area, research shows that 58.4% of the area was sometimes clean and 40.3% of it was always clean. Then, in the urban environment, 60% of the area was sometimes clean and 38.1% of it is always clean [6]. Thus, the campus and city communities' understanding about waste management is not directly correlate to the environmental conditions in their areas. Thus, there have to be real actions to increase the cleanliness of the environment. In the aspect of regulation and urgency, 80% respondents agree that everyone is obliged to sort the waste. They were know about the regulations and also "fine" on its violation. Communities were very concerned about waste management and its regulations. Fines are one of the normative and administrative efforts to enhance the awareness of campuses and cities. Therefore, there must be a sustainable socialization of waste management regulations based on a circular economy through waste banks as well as training to increase awareness of campus and city communities.

Discussion

The waste management law mandates manufacturers to minimize the impacts of the waste they generated. A waste producer is any person and/or as a result of natural processes that produce waste. Law No. 18 of 2008 Articles 14 and 15 states that "Every producer must include a label or a sign concerning the reduction and management of waste on the packaging and/or its products. Producers are obliged to manage the packaging and/or goods they produce which cannot or are difficult to decompose by natural processes."

Business actors must reduce waste by recycling and reusing them. It is including the use of production materials that generate as little waste as possible, which can be reused, recycled, and/or are easily decomposed by natural processes. To balance social, economic, and environmental conditions, the producers' social responsibility program must pay attention to the financial, social, and environmental aspects [7]. So that people's quality of life will be improved and environment could be protected.

The World Economic Forum predicts that by 2050, there will be more plastic in the oceans than fish. They predict that by 2050 the amount of plastic produced globally will triple. In 2019, waste in Indonesia will reach 68 million tons. Meanwhile, plastic waste is estimated to reach 9.52 million tons. This data explains that waste in Indonesia increases by 1 million tons every year [8].

The issue of plastic waste has prompted the government, manufacturers, and the public to be more serious about improving the waste management system. It is estimated that plastic waste pollution in Indonesia will continue to increase as a result of modern and traditional beverage industries that use single-use plastic packaging. Based on waste audit data conducted by the Matching fund team at the University of Muhammadiyah Mataram, in October 2021, the majority of plastic waste comes from bottled drinking water. The waste is consisting of 58% plastic waste, 20% residual waste, and 22% kitchen waste. The plastic waste data come from a local bottled drinking water company. Thus, it has the responsibility to carry out social programs. However, this social responsibility is not yet carried out optimally. At the national level, to support plastic waste management and reduction in Indonesia, PT. Veolia Services Indonesia (Veolia Indonesia) collaborated with PT. Tirta Investama (Danone-AQUA) to create the largest and the most advanced Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) plastic bottle recycling plant in Indonesia. Its construction started in March 2019. The food-grade and halal-certified recycled PET production capacity is 25,000 tons/year [9].

Local producers' social responsibility may be carried out by maximizing waste banks. If the main and the unit waste banks obtain support from manufacturers or companies that produce plastic waste, then this becomes both short-term and long-term solutions to the waste problem.

The Indonesian legal system, which is the civil law system, greatly influences the implementation and the form of regulation. It contrasts states with the common law system (England, Malaysia and Singapore) that experience faster economic growth. The difference reflects the common law's a greater orientation toward private economic activity and the civil law's greater orientation toward government intervention. This impacts the regulations and implementation of waste management. Research data on waste management regulations shows there is highly dominant intervention and roles of the government. On the contrary, private sectors have not maximized their role in managing the waste they generated [10].

The Regulation of the West Nusa Tenggara Province Governor No. 14 of 2020 on Regional Policies and Strategies in Waste Management has involved manufacturers. This regulation aims to strengthen the involvement of businesses through partnerships with Regional Governments, to apply

environmentally-friendly and effective Household Waste and Waste Similar to Household Waste management with incentive and disincentive systems. Article 30 of this law states that “The Governor is responsible for the procurement of land, facilities, and infrastructure for the management of Household Waste and Waste Similar to Household Waste at the Provincial Level following the provisions of the legislation.” This provision provides greater space for local governments to ask plastic waste producers to socially and environmentally be responsible by allocating their CSR funds, to the government. Then, the CSR fund will be allocated to the waste bank centers and units.

The National Electricity Company (*Perusahaan Listrik Nasional/PLN*) has created a CSR program where people can pay their electricity bills with waste through waste banks. This is to minimize the cost of transportation and parking that are usually spent in the process of paying bills. The PLN CSR-Environmental Development Team created this program in 2011. So far, this program has established 125 waste banks in Surabaya and 280 in Malang, East Java. This program aims to empower the community by increasing the income of residents and organizations in the village; to improve environmental cleanliness; to preserving nature [11]. The electricity payment counter at waste banks is hoped to increase the waste bank’s income obtained from the electricity bill administration fee collected from each payer. The profit will return to the local community to be used to develop waste bank businesses [12].

In West Nusa Tenggara, the government has created a waste bank program [13] by issuing a policy through an agreement with stakeholders, as the waste management in Mataram City is getting more complicated. Efforts to overcome the waste problem need to be directed at improving upstream waste management. One of them is to turn waste into commodities. The corporation also has a high commitment, by helping support waste banks through its CSR funds.

Conclusion

The central and regional governments have issued various regulations on waste management and strategies. The waste bank is one of the government’s efforts to implement waste management as a resource. Central and unit waste banks of the region sort and collect waste with economic value that can be recycled and/or reused. For the implementation, must synergize there have to be a synergy with producers in the form of CSR. The manufacturers’ social funds have not been maximized for waste management. Local governments allocating the funds for coaching, socializing, and

providing facilities, infrastructure, as well as capital assistance both the government and the manufacturers guaranteeing the right of citizens to live in a good and healthy environment through waste banks. This has been exemplified by the National Electricity Company who established several hundred waste banks in East Java and the West Nusa Tenggara provincial government. Recommendations to local governments are to carry out preventive and repressive supervision and regulate fines for people who do not sort waste by type.

References

1. Mukhlis M. Waste Bank Management for the Economic Empowerment of the People in Sharia Maqashid Perspective (Study on Waste Banks in Malang City). UIN Malang; 2018. Available from: <https://jurnal.unugha.ac.id/index.php/amn/article/view/40/55> [Last accessed on 2021 Jun 16].
2. Purwanti I. Implementing the Circular Economy Concept in the Waste Bank Program (Case Study: Sustainability of the Tanjung Waste Bank). Vol. 4. AmanNu. 2021. p. 55-72. Available from: <https://jurnal.unugha.ac.id/index.php/amn/article/view/40/55> [Last accessed on 2021 Jun 16].
3. Nururly S, Suryatni M, Ilhamuddin MI, Sulaimiah S, Ahyar M. Socialization of waste bank effective and efficient tips creating a clean environment in Sesela village, West Lombok regency. *Pepadu*. 2020;2:2-3. Available from: <https://jurnal.lppm.unram.ac.id/index.php/prosidingpepadu/article/view/168> [Last accessed on 2021 Jun 16].
4. Budiono A, Absori A, Harun H, Nugroho HS, Dimiyati K, Ngestiningrum AH. The anachronism of the Indonesian social security policy in health. *Med Legal Update*. 2013;19(1):229-33. <https://doi.org/10.37506/mlu.v19i1.919>
5. Kristina HJ, Kosasih W, Larica L. Ergonomics participates in promoting independent waste management and recycling of tetra pak packaging. *Madani*. 2020;3(1):132-40.
6. Absori A, Nugroho SS, Budiono A, Ellyani E, Nurani SS. Indonesia as an ecocratic country: The state’s responsibility and the people’s participation in preserving and in managing the environment quality. *Calitatea*. 2020;21(179):140-3.
7. Heru HS, Handoyo H, Prayitno H, Arief B. Sort elements based on priority, in order to improve the quality of e-learning in health using difficulty-usefulness pyramid with weighting (DUP-We). *Int J Emerg Technol Learn*. 2019;14(18):186. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v14i18.10809>
8. Kalempouw KG. Implementation of bitung city government policies in waste management by optimizing waste banks. *Politico*. 2021;10(4):1-10. Available from: <https://ejournal.unsrat.ac.id/index.php/politico/article/view/32940> [Last accessed on 2021 Jun 08].
9. *Bijakberplastik*. Proving Commitment to Plastic Waste Management, Danone-AQUA and Veolia Indonesia Inaugurate the Largest and Latest Recycling Factory in Indonesia. Available from: <https://bijakberplastik.aqua.co.id/publikasi/inovasi/buktikan-komitmen-pengelolaan-sampah-plastik-danone-aqua-dan-veolia-indonesia-resmikan-pabrik-daur-ulang-terbesar-dan-termutakhir-di-indonesia> [Last accessed on 2021 May 29].
10. Hansmann H, Kraakman R. The end of history for corporate law. *Harvard John M. Olin Discussion Paper Series*; 2000. Available from: http://www.law.harvard.edu/programs/olin_center [Last accessed on 2021 May 05].
11. PLN. Corporate Social Responsibility. Available from: <https://>

-
- web.pln.co.id/pln-peduli/corporate-social-responsibility-csr [Last accessed on 2021 Jun 16].
12. Suara NTB. Waste needs to be Turned into a Commodity of Economic Value. Available from: <https://www.suarantb.com/sampah-perlu-dijadikan-komoditi-bernilai-ekonomis> [Last accessed on 2021 Jun 16].
13. Septyanun N, Dimyati K, Setiaji B, Basri MM. The resurgence of islamic populist: Purification understanding of usury banking in X-banker community-Indonesia. *J Adv Res Dyn Control Syst.* 2019;11(8):2766.