

BAB V

KESIMPULAN DAN SARAN

5.1 KESIMPULAN

Dari hasil penelitian tentang Analisis Potensi Taman Wisata Alam Semongkat Kecamatan Batulanteh dapat di tarik kesimpulan. bahwa persepsi pengunjung terhadap objek daya tarik Taman Wisata Alam Semongkat bahwa seluruh komponen pariwisata mendapatkan kategori sangat setuju/sangat puas. Sedangkan objek wisata alam Air Terjun Tiu Dua Batudulang, dari segi atraksi,amenitas, anciliari tergolong sangat setuju/sangat puas, tetapi dari segi aksesibilitas masih tergolong kurang baik dan perlu diperbaiki agar pengunjung nyaman melakukan perjalanan menuju lokasi wisata.

5.2 SARAN

Berdasarkan kesimpulan diatas, peneliti dapat memberikan saran sebagai berikut:

1. Untuk pihak pengelola agar memperhatikan kondisi fasilitas agar selalu terjaga dengan baik.
2. Untuk pihak pemerintah daerah khususnya dari Dinas Pariwisata agar mengoptimalkan potensi yang ada, dengan pengadaan fasilitas dan memperbaiki akses jalan agar pengunjung lebih aman,nyaman untuk berkunjung ke objek wisata.

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Ahamad dan Jamal Mukaddas, (2017). Analisis Pengembangan Ekowisata di Kawasan Taman Nasional Rawa Aopa Watumohai. *Jurnal* .Kabupaten Konawe Selatan Provinsi Sulawesi Tenggara.
- Sekar Indah Putri Barus, Pindi Patana, Yunus Afiffudin, (2012). Analisis Potensi dan Kesiapan Masyarakat dalam Pengembangan Desa Wisata Berbasis Masyarakat di Kawasan Danau Linting Kabupaten Deli Serdang. *Jurnal* .Program Studi Kehutanan, Fakultas Pertanian, Universitas Sumatera Utara.
- Abdul Gafur (2019). Analisis Kelayakan Potensi Daya Tarik Objek Wisata Pemandian Alam Baruttung Kecamatan Tondong Tallasa Kabupaten Pangkep. *Skripsi*. Program studi Kehutanan, Fakultas Pertanian, Universitas Muhammadiyah Makasar.
- Mayasari, (2018). Analisis Pengembangan Potensi Wisata Pada Kawasan Hutan Lindung Bossolo Di Kecamatan Jeneponto. *Skripsi*. Program Studi Kehutanan, Fakultas Pertanian, Universitas Muhammadiyah Makasar.
- Nur Salam (2021). Persepsi Wisatawan Terhadap Pembangan Kebun Raya Jompie Di Kelurahan Bukit Harapan Kecamatan Soreang Kota ParePare. *Skripsi*.Program Studi Kehutanan, Fakultas Pertanian, Universitas Muhammadiyah Makasar.
- Bayu Prasetyo Aji, (2020). Persepsi Pengunjung Terhadap Kawasan Objek Wisata Celosia Bendungan. *Skripsi*. Program Studi Perencanaan Wilayah dan Kota, Fakultas Teknik Universitas Islam Sultan Agung Semarang.
- June Mellawati, Merri Suhartini.(2018). Kajian Fauna di Provinsi Nusa Tenggara Barat (NTB). *Jurnal*. Studi Kasus Rencana Pembangunan PLTN.
- Fika Aulia, (2017). Studi Kelayakan Taman Wisata Tirta Sayaga Sebagai Daerah Tujuan Wisata Di Kabupaten Bogor. *Skripsi*. Jurusan Pendidikan Ilmu Pengetahuan Sosial Fakultas Ilmu Tarbiyah dan Keguruan Universitas Islam Negeri Syarif Hidayatullah Jakarta.
- Juliana, (2019). Analisis Potensi Kawasan Wisata Kuliner dalam Mendukung Pariwisata Di Kota Tegal Jawa Tengah. *Skripsi*. Fakultas Pariwisata, Universitas Pelita Harapan.

Shafira Fatma Chaerunissa, Tri Yuniningsih (2012). Analisis Komponen Pengembangan Pariwisata Desa Wisata Wonolopo Kabupaten Semarang. *Jurnal*, Departemen Administrasi Publik, Fakultas Ilmu Sosial dan Politik Universitas Diponegoro.

Kecamatan Batulanteh Dalam angka, (2020).

Rencana Tata Ruang Wilayah (RTRW) Kabupaten Sumbawa 2011- 2031.

Surat keputusan Bupati Sumbawa Nomor 678 Tahun 2017 Penetapan desa wisata.

Undang- Undang Republik Indonesia No. 10 Tahun 2009 Tentang Kepariwisataaan.

Sugiyono, (2009): Buku, Kamus Besar Bahasa Indonesia (KBBI).

Nazir M. (2005) “Metode Penelitian . *Jurnal*. Ghalia Indonesia; Bogor, dalam membuat skala Likert, ada beberapa langkah prosedur yang harus dilakukan peneliti, antara lain.

RA Nur Amalina, Imroatul Khasanah (2015). Analisis Pengaruh Harga, Kualitas Layanan Dan Lokasi Terhadap Keputusan Pembelian Sukorejo Kendal. *Journal*. Jurusan Manajemen, Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis Universitas Diponegoro.

Fitri¹, Evi Dianti Bintari². (2018). Analisis Tingkat Kepuasan Pendaftar Terhadap Kualitas Pelayanan Petugas Pelayanan Mahasiswa Baru Menggunakan Metode Customer Satisfaction Index Tarakan. *Jurnal*. Program Studi Sistem Informasi dan Program Studi Manajemen Informatika, STMIK PPKIA Tarakan.

Jasmalinda. (2021). Pengaruh Citra Merek dan Kwalitas Produk Terhadap Keputusan Pembelian Konsumen Motor Yamaha di Kabupaten Padang Pariaman. *Jurnal*, Jurusan Manajemen, Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis, Universitas STIE Perdagangan Padang.



R. Ratika Zahra¹, Nofha Rina². (2018). Pengaruh Celebrity Endorser Hamidah Rachmayanti Terhadap Keputusan Pembelian Produk Online Shop Mayoutfit di Kota Bandung. *Jurnal*. Program Studi Ilmu Komunikasi, Fakultas Komunikasi dan Bisnis, Universitas Telkom.

- Ristya Widi E. (2011). Uji Validitas dan Reliabilitas Dalam Penelitian Epidemiologi Kedokteran Gigi. *Jurnal*, Prodi Laboratorium Ilmu Kesehatan Gigi dan Mulut dan Pencegahan, Fakultas Kedokteran Gigi, Universitas Jember.
- Febrianawati Yusup. (2018). Uji Validitas dan Reliabilitas Instrumen Penelitian Kuantitatif. *Jurnal*, Program Studi Tadris Biologi, Fakultas Tarbiyah dan Keguruan, Universitas Islam Negeri Antasari Banjarmasin.
- Mawarni¹, Abdul Wahab Abdi².(2021). Persepsi Wisatawan Mengenai Kelayakan Fasilitas Umum Objek Wisata Putroe Aloeh Gampong Alue Sungai Kabupaten Aceh Barat Daya. *Jurnal*. Prodi Pendidikan Geografi, FKIP, Universitas Syiah Kuala.
- Agus Saputra.(2013). Tingkat Kepuasan Mahasiswa Terhadap Kualitas Pelayanan Subbag Pendidikan Fakultas Teknik Universitas XYZ. *Jurnal*, Prodi Studi Sarjana Teknik Industri, Fakultas Teknik, Universitas Tanjung Pura.
- Fahyumi Rahman¹, I Putu Ananda Citra²,(2018). Kateristik Air Terjun Sebagai Potensi Wisata Alam di Kecamatan Sukasada. *Jurnal*. Program Studi Geografi, Universitas Ganesa, Indonesia.
- Gino,(2022). Strategi Pengembangan Taman Wisata Alam Semongkat Community Based Tourism. *Skripsi*. Studi Kasus Desa Klungkung, Kecamatan Batu Lanteh,Kabupaten Sumbawa.Prodi Perencanaan Wilayah dan Kota, Fakultas Teknik, Universitas Muhammadiyah Mataram.



LAMPIRAN

Berikut ini langkah –langkah uji validitas dan uji reliabilitas menggunakan aplikasi SPSS 16.0. Keterangan (X1. Atraksi)- (X2. Aksesibilitas)- (X3. Amenitas) - (X4. Anciliary)

A. Uji Validitas



B. Uji Reliabilitas



1. Hasil Uji Validitas Taman Wisata Alam Semongkat

[DataSet1]Joni Pranata 418130015 Segi Atraksi

		Correlations							
		X1.1	X1.2	X1.3	X1.4	X1.5	X1.6	X1.7	Jumlah_X1
X1.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.163	.221 [*]	.157	.176	.026	.137	.383 ^{**}
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.108	.028	.122	.081	.801	.178	.000
	N	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
X1.2	Pearson Correlation	.163	1	.646 ^{**}	.340 ^{**}	.295 ^{**}	.314 ^{**}	.387 ^{**}	.698 ^{**}
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.108		.000	.001	.003	.002	.000	.000
	N	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
X1.3	Pearson Correlation	.221 [*]	.646 ^{**}	1	.541 ^{**}	.400 ^{**}	.280 ^{**}	.528 ^{**}	.773 ^{**}
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.028	.000		.000	.000	.005	.000	.000
	N	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
X1.4	Pearson Correlation	.157	.340 ^{**}	.541 ^{**}	1	.475 ^{**}	.454 ^{**}	.582 ^{**}	.736 ^{**}
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.122	.001	.000		.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
X1.5	Pearson Correlation	.176	.295 ^{**}	.400 ^{**}	.475 ^{**}	1	.590 ^{**}	.386 ^{**}	.695 ^{**}
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.081	.003	.000	.000		.000	.000	.000
	N	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
X1.6	Pearson Correlation	.026	.314 ^{**}	.280 ^{**}	.454 ^{**}	.590 ^{**}	1	.532 ^{**}	.688 ^{**}
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.801	.002	.005	.000	.000		.000	.000
	N	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
X1.7	Pearson Correlation	.137	.387 ^{**}	.528 ^{**}	.582 ^{**}	.386 ^{**}	.532 ^{**}	1	.740 ^{**}
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.178	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000		.000
	N	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
Jumlah_X1	Pearson Correlation	.383 ^{**}	.698 ^{**}	.773 ^{**}	.736 ^{**}	.695 ^{**}	.688 ^{**}	.740 ^{**}	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	
	N	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

		Correlations		
		X2.1	X2.2	Jumlah_X2
X2.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.773 ^{**}	.937 ^{**}
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000
	N	99	99	99
X2.2	Pearson Correlation	.773 ^{**}	1	.946 ^{**}
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000
	N	99	99	99
Jumlah_X2	Pearson Correlation	.937 ^{**}	.946 ^{**}	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	
	N	99	99	99

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Correlations										
		X3.1	X3.2	X3.3	X3.4	X3.5	X3.6	X3.7	X3.8	Jumlah_X3
X3.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.409"	.339"	.485"	.385"	.362"	.192	.374"	.632"
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.001	.000	.000	.000	.056	.000	.000
	N	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
X3.2	Pearson Correlation	.409"	1	.508"	.419"	.561"	.526"	.511"	.310"	.731"
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.002	.000
	N	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
X3.3	Pearson Correlation	.339"	.508"	1	.548"	.681"	.492"	.489"	.435"	.780"
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.001	.000		.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
X3.4	Pearson Correlation	.485"	.419"	.548"	1	.418"	.485"	.353"	.344"	.716"
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000		.000	.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
X3.5	Pearson Correlation	.385"	.561"	.681"	.418"	1	.742"	.409"	.538"	.821"
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000		.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
X3.6	Pearson Correlation	.362"	.526"	.492"	.485"	.742"	1	.441"	.442"	.774"
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000		.000	.000	.000
	N	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
X3.7	Pearson Correlation	.192	.511"	.489"	.353"	.409"	.441"	1	.457"	.643"
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.056	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000		.000	.000
	N	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
X3.8	Pearson Correlation	.374"	.310"	.435"	.344"	.538"	.442"	.457"	1	.670"
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.002	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000		.000
	N	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
Jumlah_X3	Pearson Correlation	.632"	.731"	.780"	.716"	.821"	.774"	.643"	.670"	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	
	N	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Correlations				
		X4.1	X4.2	Jumlah_X4
X4.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.605"	.884"
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000
	N	99	99	99
X4.2	Pearson Correlation	.605"	1	.907"
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000
	N	99	99	99
Jumlah_X4	Pearson Correlation	.884"	.907"	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	
	N	99	99	99

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

1.1 Uji Reliabilitas Taman Wisata Alam Semongkat

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.795	7

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
X1.1	26.12	7.108	.196	.822
X1.2	26.42	5.675	.526	.771
X1.3	26.25	5.680	.657	.742
X1.4	26.10	6.051	.627	.752
X1.5	26.34	6.167	.573	.761
X1.6	26.43	5.799	.522	.771
X1.7	26.20	6.102	.637	.752

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.871	2

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
X2.1	4.19	.524	.773	a.
X2.2	4.21	.455	.773	a.

a. The value is negative due to a negative average covariance among items violates reliability model assumptions. You may want to check item codings

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.867	8

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
X3.1	28.70	14.744	.496	.865
X3.2	28.79	14.434	.635	.849
X3.3	28.64	13.948	.693	.842
X3.4	28.79	14.108	.601	.853
X3.5	28.74	13.685	.747	.836
X3.6	28.74	14.277	.693	.843
X3.7	28.44	15.535	.548	.858
X3.8	28.62	14.872	.559	.857

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.891	3

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
X4.1	12.73	3.160	.799	.883
X4.2	12.73	2.915	.824	.845
Jumlah_X4	8.48	1.314	1.000	.751

2. Uji Validitas Air Terjun Tiu Dua Batudulang

		Correlations							
		X1.1	X1.2	X1.3	X1.4	X1.5	X1.6	X1.7	Jumlah_X1
X1.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.584"	.492"	.508"	.505"	.464"	.351"	.745"
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
X1.2	Pearson Correlation	.584"	1	.682"	.459"	.430"	.310"	.350"	.743"
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000	.000	.000	.002	.000	.000
	N	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
X1.3	Pearson Correlation	.492"	.682"	1	.460"	.538"	.405"	.375"	.762"
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000		.000	.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
X1.4	Pearson Correlation	.508"	.459"	.460"	1	.603"	.459"	.548"	.780"
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000		.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
X1.5	Pearson Correlation	.505"	.430"	.538"	.603"	1	.526"	.395"	.762"
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000		.000	.000	.000
	N	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
X1.6	Pearson Correlation	.464"	.310"	.405"	.459"	.526"	1	.444"	.703"
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.002	.000	.000	.000		.000	.000
	N	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
X1.7	Pearson Correlation	.351"	.350"	.375"	.548"	.395"	.444"	1	.678"
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000		.000
	N	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
Jumlah_X1	Pearson Correlation	.745"	.743"	.762"	.780"	.762"	.703"	.678"	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	
	N	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

[DataSet2] Joni Pranata 418130015

		Correlations		
		X2.1	X2.2	Jumlah_X2
X2.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.433**	.849**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000
	N	99	99	99
X2.2	Pearson Correlation	.433**	1	.844**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000
	N	99	99	99
Jumlah_X2	Pearson Correlation	.849**	.844**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	
	N	99	99	99

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Correlations										
		X3.1	X3.2	X3.3	X3.4	X3.5	X3.6	X3.7	X3.8	Jumlah_X3
X3.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.209 [*]	.369 ^{**}	.303 ^{**}	.264 ^{**}	.338 ^{**}	.418 ^{**}	.471 ^{**}	.600 ^{**}
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.038	.000	.002	.008	.001	.000	.000	.000
	N	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
X3.2	Pearson Correlation	.209 [*]	1	.481 ^{**}	.167	.203 [*]	.287 ^{**}	.306 ^{**}	.255 [*]	.535 ^{**}
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.038		.000	.099	.044	.004	.002	.011	.000
	N	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
X3.3	Pearson Correlation	.369 ^{**}	.481 ^{**}	1	.488 ^{**}	.488 ^{**}	.443 ^{**}	.310 ^{**}	.418 ^{**}	.731 ^{**}
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000		.000	.000	.000	.002	.000	.000
	N	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
X3.4	Pearson Correlation	.303 ^{**}	.167	.488 ^{**}	1	.552 ^{**}	.532 ^{**}	.414 ^{**}	.474 ^{**}	.736 ^{**}
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.002	.099	.000		.000	.000	.000	.000	.000
	N	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
X3.5	Pearson Correlation	.264 ^{**}	.203 [*]	.488 ^{**}	.552 ^{**}	1	.632 ^{**}	.257 [*]	.443 ^{**}	.706 ^{**}
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.008	.044	.000	.000		.000	.010	.000	.000
	N	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
X3.6	Pearson Correlation	.338 ^{**}	.287 ^{**}	.443 ^{**}	.532 ^{**}	.632 ^{**}	1	.410 ^{**}	.470 ^{**}	.758 ^{**}
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.001	.004	.000	.000	.000		.000	.000	.000
	N	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
X3.7	Pearson Correlation	.418 ^{**}	.306 ^{**}	.310 ^{**}	.414 ^{**}	.257 [*]	.410 ^{**}	1	.538 ^{**}	.660 ^{**}
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.002	.002	.000	.010	.000		.000	.000
	N	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
X3.8	Pearson Correlation	.471 ^{**}	.255 [*]	.418 ^{**}	.474 ^{**}	.443 ^{**}	.470 ^{**}	.538 ^{**}	1	.737 ^{**}
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.011	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000		.000
	N	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
Jumlah_X3	Pearson Correlation	.600 ^{**}	.535 ^{**}	.731 ^{**}	.736 ^{**}	.706 ^{**}	.758 ^{**}	.660 ^{**}	.737 ^{**}	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	
	N	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99	99

Correlations

		X4.1	X4.2	Jumlah_X4
X4.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.551 ^{**}	.832 ^{**}
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000
	N	99	99	99
X4.2	Pearson Correlation	.551 ^{**}	1	.861 ^{**}
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000
	N	99	99	99
Jumlah_X4	Pearson Correlation	.832 ^{**}	.861 ^{**}	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	
	N	99	99	99

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

2.1 Uji Reliabilitas Air Terjun Tiu Dua Batudulang

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.858	7

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
X1.1	25.96	8.611	.651	.836
X1.2	26.12	8.108	.620	.840
X1.3	26.00	8.367	.664	.833
X1.4	26.01	8.173	.682	.830
X1.5	26.03	8.519	.672	.833
X1.6	26.16	8.341	.570	.847
X1.7	26.14	8.531	.542	.851

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.604	2

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
X2.1	2.80	.857	.433	. ^a
X2.2	2.24	.879	.433	. ^a

a. The value is negative due to a negative average covariance among items. violates reliability model assumptions. You may want to check item codings.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.835	8

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
X3.1	25.20	15.796	.478	.826
X3.2	25.47	15.803	.375	.841
X3.3	25.81	14.769	.632	.808
X3.4	25.87	13.850	.609	.811
X3.5	25.88	14.904	.599	.812
X3.6	25.83	14.205	.656	.803
X3.7	25.38	15.321	.546	.818
X3.8	25.46	14.700	.640	.806

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.708	2

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
X4.1	4.20	.428	.551	.7
X4.2	4.22	.338	.551	.7