CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

A. Conclusion

Based on the findings, The researcher concluded that the learning strategies used by student's in learning listening at Mumammadiyah University of Mataram academic year 2022 was cognitive startegies with highest choice with percentage (38,74%), Metacognitive strategies with percentage (37,76%), and Socio Affective Strategies with percentage, (32,0%).

Generally, all of the students at Muhammadiyah University of Mataram used cognitive strategies in learning listening. They also use resourcing strategies to chose the material that suits for their needs and interests in order to increase their general ability, particularly in listening.

b. Suggestion

Basen on the finding above, cognitive strategies are the higest chosen by the students at Muhammadiyah University of Mataram Academic year 2022. As could be seen on the result above, and the researcher woul like to suggest as follows:

1. This research expected that English students always learn in develoving and increasing ability in listening by using many ways and use learning strategies that appropriate with interests and their own ways in the proper condition and situation.

- 2. This research is an input for academic and many people especially who concern in English education section and other instituition.
- 3. For the readers, this research expected may help the readers to add knowledge about learning strategies in learning listening
- 4. The resacher hope that the next researcher interested and became inspiration to conduct the same research with different skills especially in English education.



BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Alzamil, J. (2021). Listening Skills: Important but Difficult to Learn. *Arab World English Journal*, *12*(3), 366–374. https://doi.org/10.24093/awej/vol12no3.25
- Aytan, T. (2016). The Effect of Listening Education Course on the Listening Behaviors of Prospective Turkish Teachers. *International Journal of Higher Education*, 5(2). https://doi.org/10.5430/ijhe.v5n2p254
- Azis, N. I. (2019). the Implementation of Metacognitive Strategies in Teaching Speaking in Indonesian Efl Classroom. 1–18.
- Best, J. W., & Kahn, J. V. (1995). Research in Education (7th ed.).
- Bingol, M. A., Mart, C. T., Celik, B., & Yildiz, N. (2014). Listening comprehension difficulties encountered by students in second language learning class. *Journal of Educational and Instructional Studies in the World*, 4(4), 25–30.
- Chamot, A. U. (2004). Issues in Language Learning Strategy Research and Teaching. *Electronic Journal of Foreign Language Teaching*, 1(1), 14–26. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261444808005612
- Chamot, A. U. (2005). Language learning strategy instruction: Current issues and research. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 25(2005), 112–130. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0267190505000061
- Chamot, O. (1990). learning strategies in second language acquisition. In *THE* CAMBRIDGE APPLIED LINGUISTICS SERIES Series (first). Cambridge University Press 1990 First.
- Dörnyei, Z., & Taguchi, T. (2009). Questionnaires in second language research: Construction, administration, and processing: Second edition. In Questionnaires in Second Language Research: Construction, Administration, and Processing: Second Edition. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203864739
- Dr. Deni Darmawan. (2016). Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif. www.rosda.co.id

- Ekrem Solak. (2016). Teaching Listening Skills. *Teaching Listening Skills*, 1–44. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/309293240
- Gilakjani, A. P., & Sabouri, N. B. (2016). Learners' Listening Comprehension Difficulties in English Language Learning: A Literature Review. *English Language Teaching*, 9(6), 123. https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v9n6p123
- Jalongo, M. R. (1991). *Strategies for developing children's listening skills*. Phi Delta Kappa Educational Foundation.
- Jenny X. Montaño-González. (2017). Learning Strategies in Second Language Acquisition. US-China Foreign Language, 15(8), 479–492. https://doi.org/10.17265/1539-8080/2017.08.001
- Juste, M. P., & López, B. R. (2010). Learning strategies in higher education. *International Journal of Learning*, *17*(1), 259–274. https://doi.org/10.18848/1447-9494/cgp/v17i01/46813
- Lavasani, M. G., & Faryadres, F. (2011). Language learning strategies and suggested model in adults processes of learning second language. *Procedia* -*Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 15, 191–197. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2011.03.072
- Nemtchinova, E. (2013). *Teaching listening* (Thomas S. C. Farrell (ed.)). TESOL Internatioal Assosiation.
- Nunan, D. (1991). Language teaching methodology: a textbook for teachers.
- Oxford, R. (2001). Language learning styles and strategies. *Teaching English as a Second or Foreign Language*, *41*(January 2001), 359–366.
- P Nation, I. S., & Newton, J. (2009). *Teaching ESL/EFL Listening and Speaking*.
 Taylor & Francis e-Library, 2008. www.routledgeeducation.com
- Rahman, K. (2020). Perceived Use of Metacognitive Strategies by EFL Undergraduates in Academic Reading. VELES Voices of English Language Education Society, 4(1), 44–52. https://doi.org/10.29408/veles.v4i1.1975

- Rebolj, A. B., & Possibilities, S. F. (2017). Adrijana Biba Starman The case study as a type of qualitative research. September 2014.
- Renukadevi, D. (2014). The Role of Listening in Language Acquisition; the Challenges & Strategies in Teaching Listening. *International Journal of Education and Information Studies*, 4(1), 59–63. http://www.ripublication.com
- Richard Jack C, & Renadya Willy A. (2002). *methodology_in_language_teaching_2002_scanned*. Cambridge University Press 2002. www.cambridge.org
- Setiyadi, B. (2016). LANGUAGE LEARNING STRATEGY QUESTIONNAIRE (LLSQ) A Measurement to Identify Students' Learning Strategies and Prepare the Success of Learning English in the Indonesian Context (Empirical Evidence). Graha Ilmu, 1–124. http://repository.lppm.unila.ac.id/27885/2/isi buku.pdf
- Surayatika, D. (2017). USING WEBSITE AS A TOOL FOR PRACTICING ENGLISH LISTENING SKILL. 6(1).
- Vandergrift, L., & Goh, C. C. M. (2012). Teaching and Learning Second Language Listening: Metacognition in Action. In Teaching and Learning Second Language Listening: Metacognition in Action. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203843376
- Wallace, T., Stariha, W. E., & Walberg, H. J. (2004). EDUCATIONAL PRACTICES SERIES-14 Teaching speaking, listening and writing. http://www.curtin.edu.au/curtin/dept/smec/iae
- Wang, L., Zhou, R. J., & Huang, L. Z. (2011). Research of maximum capacity of grid-connected photovoltaic power station based on the "box" set robust optimization. APAP 2011 - Proceedings: 2011 International Conference on Advanced Power System Automation and Protection, 1, 507–511. https://doi.org/10.1109/APAP.2011.6180454

- Zarei, A. A. (2019). Cite this paper Related papers Learners' List ening Comprehension Difficult ies in English Language Learning: A Lit erat ure Revi... RANIE JAEN A Qualit at ive St udy of t he Impact of Cognit ive and Met acognit ive St rat egies on EFL Learners' List enin.... In International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development-IJTSRD International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development. www.ijtsrd.com
- Zhang, Y. (2012). The impact of listening strategy on listening comprehension.
 Theory and Practice in Language Studies, 2(3), 625–629.
 https://doi.org/10.4304/tpls.2.3.625-629



LAMPIRAN-LAMPIRAN

Affendix I

Questionnaire

Classes	questionnaire	Always	Often	Sometimes	Seldom
	 I listen to what I say to practice my listening skill. Before practicing my listening, I prepare a topic, pronunciation or grammatical rules which give me the greatest trouble. 				
Metacognitive strategy	3. I try to remember a sentences spoken face-to- face or on cassettes and analyze them by myself				
	 After a listening practice, I check and recheck my understanding I correct the 			A	
	mistakes that I produce orally 6. I try to be aware of which sounds give the greatest trouble. In this way I can pay				

	special attention to them while I listen and practice				
	 I try to guess what somebody is saying by using grammatical rules I learn English by watching 				
Cognitive strategy	English TV programs 9. I learn English by listening to English songs or other listening scripts 10. I try to	المرا	E B	200	4
	understand what somebody is saying by translating into indonesia		学家で)
	11. I draw an image or picture of the word in order to remember the word	1		4	

	 12. I connect the pronunciation of the word with the Indonesian word which has a similar sound. 13. I concentrate on the grammar rather than on the communication 				
5	14. I try to understand the idea by referring to previous experiences I have had.	المرد	E	555	R
	15. I try to guess by using a word that is familiar to me.				
	16. In Listening, I take notes to remember ideas	11	5	57	5
	17. I try to understand every individual word to understand		~	1	9
	the passage 18. If I cannot			and the second s	
	understand what somebody is saying, I ask him				
Socio Affective	19. while listening, I can keep calm and not be nervous				

Strategy	20. Listening to
Strategy	what somebody
	is saying
	improves my
	listening skill.
	21. after listening, I
	ask my
	classmate or
	lecturer
	questions I
	don't
	understand
	22. In a group
	discussion, my
	listening skill is
	improved



Affendix II

Angket students Respons in questionnaire

No responden																						
		Met	aco	gnit	tive						(Cogn	itive					Socio Affective strategy				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
1	4	2	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	4	3	3	3
2	4	4	4	4	3	4	3	3	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	2	2	4	4	4	5	4
3	5	1	2	2	4	5	4	5	5	3	5	4	2	5	5	5	5	2	5	4	1	3
4	4	2	5	4	3	3	4	4	4	2	3	4	3	5	3	3	4	3	5	5	4	2
5	4	4	4	4	3	4	3	3	4	3	2	3	3	4	3	3	3	4	3	3	4	3
6	4	4	4	3	1	2	3	4	2	4	3	3	3	2	3	4	3	2	2	4	3	4
7	3	4	3	4	4	2	2	3	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	2	4	3	2	2	4
8	4	3	3	3	1	2	4	4	2	4	4	3	3	2	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1
9	2	3	3	4	2	4	1	2	3	3	4	3	3	3	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	2
10	3	4	4	4	4	3	2	4	2	2	4	2	3	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	4	3
11	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	2	4	2	5	5	4
12	2	2	4	3	2	2	3	2	3	2	2	4	4	2	4	2	3	2	3	2	1	3
13	5	3	3	3	1	1	3	4	4	4	3	4	1	2	4	1	4	2	4	3	4	2
14	3	3	4	4	4	3	4	3	4	4	4	3	3	3	4	4	2	2	2	4	4	4
15	5	4	4	4	3	2	3	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	5	2
16	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	2	2	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	3	3	4	3
17	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	3	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	4	4	4	4
18	3	3	5	3	3	4	4	3	2	2	2	4	3	2	3	2	2	3	2	4	2	5
19	3	3	2	4	1	4	1	4	4	4	3	4	2	2	3	3	2	5	4	2	2	2
20	3	4	5	4	2	3	5	5	3	4	2	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	5	3
21	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	4	3	4	4	3	1	2	2	2	3	3	3
22	5	3	4	3	2	2	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	2	4	5	5	5	4	2
23	3	3	4	5	3	4	3	5	5	3	4	5	3	4	5	5	5	5	3	4	1	4
24	5	3	5	2	1	2	5	3	5	4	3	3	4	3	3	1	3	5	4	3	3	2
25	3	4	4	3	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	5	5	5	4	4	5	3	4	3	3	4
26	3	4	2	3	4	3	4	3	3	3	4	3	4	3	3	2	4	3	3	3	3	3
27	5	3	5	4	3	3	3	1	5	4	3	4	5	4	3	3	3	3	4	2	3	3
28	3	3	4	3	2	3	2	3	4	4	4	3	2	3	3	2	4	3	3	3	3	3
28	4	4	4	5	3	4	2	3	5	3	3	4	3	3	4	3	3	3	5	5	3	3
30	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	2	4	4	2	2	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4

Descriptive Analysis

Metacognitive strategy percentage

	ltem no 1						
					Cumulative		
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent		
Valid	Seldom	1	3.3	3.3	3.3		
	Sometimes	10	33.3	33.3	36.7		
	Often	15	50.0	50.0	86.7		
	Always	4	13.3	13.3	100.0		
	Total	30	100.0	100.0			

Item n	0 2
--------	-----

	Item no 2						
					Cumulative		
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent		
Valid	Never	1	3.3	3.3	3.3		
	Seldom	4	13.3	13.3	16.7		
	Sometimes	13	43.3	43.3	60.0		
	Often	9	30.0	30.0	90.0		
	Always	3	10.0	10.0	100.0		
	Total	30	100.0	100.0			

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
Valid	Seldom	7	23.3	23.3	23.3
	Sometimes	8	26.7	26.7	50.0
	Often	12	40.0	40.0	90.0
	Always	3	10.0	10.0	100.0
	Total	30	100.0	100.0	

	ltem no 4						
					Cumulative		
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent		
Valid	Never	1	3.3	3.3	3.3		
	Sometimes	12	40.0	40.0	43.3		
	Often	13	43.3	43.3	86.7		
	Always	4	13.3	13.3	100.0		
	Total	30	100.0	100.0			



					Cumulative	
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent	
Valid	Never	3	10.0	10.0	10.0	
	Seldom	7	23.3	23.3	33.3	
	Sometimes	8	26.7	26.7	60.0	
	Often	9	30.0	30.0	90.0	
	Always	3	10.0	10.0	100.0	
	Total	30	100.0	100.0		
			20	100		

		lt	em no 6		
					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
Valid	Seldom	9	30.0	30.0	30.0
	Sometimes	8	26.7	26.7	56.7
	Often	10	33.3	33.3	90.0
	Always	3	10.0	10.0	100.0
	Total	30	100.0	100.0	

Cognitive strategy Percentage

	ltem no 7								
					Cumulative				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent				
Valid	Seldom	2	6.7	6.7	6.7				
	Sometimes	12	40.0	40.0	46.7				
	Often	9	30.0	30.0	76.7				
	Always	7	23.3	23.3	100.0				
	Total	30	100.0	100.0					
	Total	30	100.0	100.0	-				

Item no 8

					Cumulative		
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent		
Valid	Never	1	3.3	3.3	3.3		
	Seldom	3	10.0	10.0	13.3		
	Sometimes	14	46.7	46.7	60.0		
	Often	10	33.3	33.3	93.3		
	Always	2	6.7	6.7	100.0		
	Total	30	100.0	100.0			

Item no 9

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
Valid	Seldom	3	10.0	10.0	10.0
	Sometimes	5	16.7	16.7	26.7
	Often	16	53.3	53.3	80.0
	Always	6	20.0	20.0	100.0
	Total	30	100.0	100.0	

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
Valid	Seldom	2	6.7	6.7	6.7
	Sometimes	10	33.3	33.3	40.0
	Often	15	50.0	50.0	90.0
	Always	3	10.0	10.0	100.0
	Total	30	100.0	100.0	

Valid Never 5 16.7 16.7 Percent Valid Never 5 16.7 16.7 16.7 Seldom 6 20.0 20.0 36.7 Sometimes 10 33.3 33.3 70.0 Often 8 26.7 26.7 96.7 Always 1 3.3 3.3 100.0	Item no 11								
Valid Never 5 16.7 16.7 16.7 Seldom 6 20.0 20.0 36.7 Sometimes 10 33.3 33.3 70.0 Often 8 26.7 26.7 96.7 Always 1 3.3 3.3 100.0						Cumulative			
Seldom 6 20.0 20.0 36.7 Sometimes 10 33.3 33.3 70.0 Often 8 26.7 26.7 96.7 Always 1 3.3 3.3 100.0			Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent			
Sometimes 10 33.3 33.3 70.0 Often 8 26.7 26.7 96.7 Always 1 3.3 3.3 100.0	Valid	Never	5	16.7	16.7	16.7			
Often 8 26.7 26.7 96.7 Always 1 3.3 3.3 100.0		Seldom	6	20.0	20.0	36.7			
Always 1 3.3 3.3 100.0		Sometimes	10	33.3	33.3	70.0			
		Often	8	26.7	26.7	96.7			
Tatal 20 100.0 100.0		Always	1	3.3	3.3	100.0			
10tal 30 100.0 100.0		Total	30	100.0	100.0				

Item	no	12

	ltem no 12								
					Cumulative				
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent				
Valid	Never	1	3.3	3.3	3.3				
	Seldom	7	23.3	23.3	26.7				
	Sometimes	10	33.3	33.3	60.0				
	Often	11	36.7	36.7	96.7				
	Always	1	3.3	3.3	100.0				
	Total	30	100.0	100.0					

Item no 13								
					Cumulative			
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent			
Valid	Never	2	6.7	6.7	6.7			
	Seldom	4	13.3	13.3	20.0			
	Sometimes	10	33.3	33.3	53.3			
	Often	10	33.3	33.3	86.7			
	Always	4	13.3	13.3	100.0			
	Total	30	100.0	100.0				

Item no 14								
					Cumulative			
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent			
Valid New	er	1	3.3	3.3	3.3			
Sel	hom	3	10.0	10.0	13.3			
Sor	netimes	9	30.0	30.0	43.3			
Ofte	en	12	40.0	40.0	83.3			
Alw	ays	5	16.7	16.7	100.0			
Tota	al	30	100.0	100.0				

Item no 15								
					Cumulative			
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent			
Valid	Seldom	5	16.7	16.7	16.7			
	Sometimes	7	23.3	23.3	40.0			
	Often	10	33.3	33.3	73.3			
	Always	8	26.7	26.7	100.0			
	Total	30	100.0	100.0				
				Went				

Item no 16							
					Cumulative		
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent		
Valid	Seldom	6	20.0	20.0	20.0		
	Sometimes	9	30.0	30.0	50.0		
	Often	13	43.3	43.3	93.3		
	Always	2	6.7	6.7	100.0		
	Total	30	100.0	100.0			

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
Valid	Seldom	4	13.3	13.3	13.3
	Sometimes	10	33.3	33.3	46.7
	Often	14	46.7	46.7	93.3
	Always	2	6.7	6.7	100.0
	Total	30	100.0	100.0	

Socio Affective strategy

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
Valid	Never	1	3.3	3.3	3.3
	Seldom	8	26.7	26.7	30.0
	Sometimes	9	30.0	30.0	60.0
	Often	8	26.7	26.7	86.7
	Always	4	13.3	13.3	100.0
	Total	30	100.0	100.0	

Item no 18

ltem	no	19

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
Valid	Never	1	3.3	3.3	3.3
	Seldom	5	16.7	16.7	20.0
	Sometimes	9	30.0	30.0	50.0
	Often	10	33.3	33.3	83.3
	Always	5	16.7	16.7	100.0
	Total	30	100.0	100.0	

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
Valid	Never	1	3.3	3.3	3.3
	Seldom	4	13.3	13.3	16.7
	Sometimes	10	33.3	33.3	50.0
	Often	11	36.7	36.7	86.7
	Always	4	13.3	13.3	100.0
	Total	30	100.0	100.0	

				Cumulative
	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
Valid Never	4	13.3	13.3	13.3
Seldom	3	10.0	10.0	23.3
Sometimes	9	30.0	30.0	53.3
Often	10	33.3	33.3	86.7
Always	4	13.3	13.3	100.0
Total	30	100.0	100.0	

		lte	em no 22		
					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
Valid	Never	1	3.3	3.3	3.3
	Seldom	7	23.3	23.3	26.7
	Sometimes	12	40.0	40.0	66.7
	Often	9	30.0	30.0	96.7
	Always	1	3.3	3.3	100.0
	Total	30	100.0	100.0	

Affendix II

Students responses in questionnaire



4 ^G 21.10	1 0 1 (BO)
🔍 🛛 🥏 Formulir tanpa judul 🖓	Ads
Formulir tanpa judul	
Pertanyaan Jawaban 29 Setelan	
I learn English by listening to English songs or other listening scripts	*
Always	
✓ Often	
Sometimes	
Seldom	
Never	
I try to understand what somebody is saying by translating into Indonesian.	*
Always	
	2

•••• ^{4G}	.11 🕑 🗳			ıllı (BO)
:=	\odot	5	\land	:
Formu	lir tanpa j	udul		
Pertanya	aan Jawa	aban 29	Sete	lan
Oft	en			
Sor	metimes			
Sel	dom			
Ne ^v	ver			
	not underst I ask him/I			
	vays			
🔽 Oft	en			
Sor	metimes			
Sel	dom			
Ne ^v	ver			
<	Q	=		2
	\equiv	\bigcirc		1

Formulir tanpa judul
Pertanyaan Jawaban 29 Setelan
Listening to what somebody is saying * improves my listening skill
Always
✓ Often
Sometimes
Seldom
Never Never
while listening, I can keep calm and not be * nervous
$< Q \equiv $

Students Interviews





