

## CHAPTER V

### CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

This chapter presents this study's conclusions and suggestions for next researchers to conduct research related to speech acts.

#### 5.1 Conclusion


There are the results of the types and functions found in the imperfect movie dialog.

1. There are six types of directive illocutionary acts found in the Imperfect movie dialog with the number of a sentence: requests 26 sentences, question 7 sentences, requirements 43 sentences, prohibition 8 sentences, permissions 3 sentences, and advisories 30 sentences.
2. There are some functions of directive illocutionary acts found in the Imperfect movie dialog: Request has the function of beg 18 sentences, implore 1 sentence, and invite 7 sentences. Question has the function of query 7 sentences. Requirements has the function of command 39 sentences, instruct 1 sentence, and require 3 sentences. Prohibition has the function of forbid 5 sentences and prohibit 3 sentences. Permission has a function of allow 2 sentences and forgive 1 sentence. Advisories has the function of admonish 10 sentences, advice 8 sentences, suggest 9 sentences, and warn 3 sentences.

## 5.2 Suggestion

The suggestion of this research as follows :

1. For students, it is suggested that this study be used as additional knowledge of directive illocutionary acts.
2. For further researchers, it is suggested to use another theory to research directive illocutionary acts to compare and show the similarities and differences of this study. The next researcher can also examine the locutionary, illocutionary, and perlocutionary speech in the Imperfect movie dialog or use novels as objects of research and different research questions.



## BIBLIOGRAPHY

<https://kbbi.kemdikbud.go.id/entri/Film> accessed on 22 September 2020

<https://www.fimela.com/news-entertainment/read/4138937/sinopsis-film->

[imperfect-ajak-mengubah-insecure-jadi-bersyukur](#) accessed  
on 10 November 2020

Leech, Geoffrey N. 1983. *Principles of Pragmatics*. London: Longman.

Levinson, Stephen C. 1983. *Pragmatics*. London: Cambridge University Press.

Mahsun. 2012. *Metode Penelitian Bahasa: Tahapan Strategi, Metode, dan Tekniknya*. Jakarta: Rajawali Pers.

Moleong, Lexy. 1996. *Metodologi Penelitian Kualitatif*. Bandung: Rosidakarya.

Nation, I.S.P.2009. *Teaching ESL/EFL reading and writing*. New York: Routledge.

Keith, Allan. 1986. *Linguistic Meaning. Volume Two*. London. Routledge Kegan & Paul.

Rahardi, Kunjana.2005. *Kesantunan Imperatif Bahasa Indonesia*. Jakarta: Erlangga.

Searle, John R. 1969. *Speech Acts: An Essay in the Philosophy of Language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Sudaryanto. 2015. *Metodedan Aneka Teknik Analisis Bahasa (Pengantar Penelitian Wahana Kebudayaan Secara Linguistik)*. Yogyakarta: Sanata Dharma University Press.

Widodo, T. A. 2019. *Directive Speech Acts found in “13 Reasons Why” Serial Movie by Joseph In caprera*. Surabaya: English Department Faculty Of Arts And Humanities State Islamic University Of Sunan Ampel Surabaya.

Wijana, I. D. 1996. *Dasar-Dasar Pragmatik*. Yogyakarta: Andi Yogyakarta.

Wulandari, R. D. 2017. *Tindak Tutur Direktif Dalam Film Ernest Et Célestine karya Daniel Pennac*. Yogyakarta: Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta.

Yule, George. 1996. *Pragmatics*. New York: Oxford University Press.

